

27 January 2021
148-21

Administrative Assessment Report –Application A1219

Alpha-amylase from GM *Bacillus licheniformis* as a PA
(Enzyme)

1. Application details

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Date received: 30 November 2020 Date due for completion of administrative assessment: 16 December 2020 Date completed:</p> | | |
| <p>Applicant: Danisco New Zealand Limited, subsidiary of DuPont</p> | | <p>Potentially affected standard: Schedule 18 to Standard 1.3.3 Processing Aids</p> |
| <p>Brief description of Application: To permit the use of Alpha-amylase from GM <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> as an enzyme processing aid for use in brewed beverages, potable alcohol production and starch processing.</p> | | |
| <p>Procedure: General Level 1</p> | <p>Estimated total variable hours: 240</p> <p>Reasons why: The assessment is assessing a new source microorganism for an already permitted enzyme</p> | <p>Estimated start date: December 2021 (if unpaid)</p> |

2. Decision

| |
|--|
| <p>Application accepted</p> <p>Date: 16 December 202</p> |
|--|

3. Additional matters

Has the Applicant requested information in the application is confidential commercial information (CCI) or confidential?

Yes, CCI

What documents are affected? Appendices B –B1, B3 to B6. D -D1,D3 and E1 to E5.

Has the Applicant provided redacted copies of documents containing CCI (i.e. CCI version and non CCI version and non CCI executive summary)?

Yes – in the application and in the non-CCI Appendices A to E.

Has the Applicant provided justification for why information is CCI or confidential?

Yes

4. Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit (ECCB) on the Applicant?

No

Does the Applicant want to expedite assessment (i.e. pay) for this Application?

No

5. Assessment against FSANZ Act 1991 requirements

Subsection 26(2)

(b) Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes

(c) Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it should not be accepted?

No

(d) Are there any other matters relevant to the decision whether to accept or reject the application?

No

Does the application meet each of the following criteria required by subsection 22(2)?

(a) The application is in writing

Yes

(b) The application is in the form specified in guideline 3.1.1 of the Application Handbook

Yes

(c) The application includes all information and each thing that the section 23 guidelines of the Act state must be included in such an application.

Yes – for guidelines 3.1.1, 3.3.2 (relevant parts of 3.5.1 included as part of 3.3.2 E)

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes

Indicate which Procedure: General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

Nil

6. Consultation & assessment timeframe

Proposed length of public consultation periods:

6 weeks

Proposed timeframe for assessment

'Early Bird Notification' due: 27 Jan 2021

General Level Procedure:

| | |
|--|-------------------------|
| Commence assessment (clock start) | Mid Dec 2021 |
| Completion of assessment & preparation of draft food reg measure | Mid May 2022 |
| Public comment | Mid May – Late Jun 2022 |
| Board to complete approval | Mid Sept 2022 |
| Notification to Forum | Late Sep 2022 |
| Anticipated gazettal if no review requested | Mid Dec 2022 |

